



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,757	07/15/2003	Patrick J. Sweeney	029815-0101	7389
23524	7590	04/17/2009	EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP			PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
150 EAST GILMAN STREET				
P.O. BOX 1497			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MADISON, WI 53701-1497			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/619,757	SWEENEY, PATRICK J.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	PEDRO PHILOGENE	3733	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 January 2009.  
 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-3,8-16 and 27-41 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-3,8-10,13-16,27-41 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .                                    |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                        |

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 8, 13-16, 27-33, 36-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Harrington (5,893,889).

With respect to claims 1, 15, 27, 30, 31, 33, 36, 38 Harrington discloses a stabilizing element (68) a scaffold assembly comprising a first base (32) and a second base (34), as best seen in FIG.2; at least one or more appendages (78) removably attached to the first or the second base, such that the bases and the appendage forming a cage between the first and the second vertebrae, wherein the stabilizing element (68) is retained in the cage without being rigidly attached to the scaffold assembly, and wherein the stabilizing element may be removed from the cage through an opening created by removing at least one of the appendages(68) a first plate and a second plate; as set forth in column 4 lines 13-31; and as best seen in FIG.2, the first plate positioned above the stabilizing element and the second plate positioned below the stabilizing element, the second plate disposed opposite and in substantially parallel relation to the first plate, such that the stabilizing element is retained between the first and second plates, wherein the first plate and the second plate have a high friction outer surfaces, as best seen in FIG.2. The stabilizing element is a disc prosthesis; the stabilizing element is also a fusion element, the plates are rigidly attached to the

vertebrae and the spring is being used as a shock absorber, the scaffold is made of titanium, as set forth in column 2, lines 21-26. A stabilizing means (68), a retainer means (78) that is removably attached, wherein the first and second bases plates are ring-shaped. The scaffold assembly comprises a material selected from metal ceramic and plastic, cobalt or titanium, as best seen in FIG.2.

A method comprising removing a first stabilizing element from the cage and inserting a second stabilizing element into the cage, wherein the first stabilizing element is a disc prosthesis and the second stabilizing element is a fusion prosthesis; as set forth in column 4, lines 13-31.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrington (5,893,889) in view of Parsons et al. (5,545,229).

With respect to claims 9, 10, it is noted that Harrington did not teach of the first plate and the second plate having high and low friction outer surfaces; as claimed by applicant. However, in similar art, Parsons et al evidences the use of an intervertebral disc spacer with high and low friction mechanism incorporated in the outer surface for attachment to adjacent bony vertebral bodies.

Therefore, given the teaching of Parsons et al, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Harrington, as taught by Parsons et al, to provide disc spacer with high and low friction mechanism incorporated in the outer surface for attachment to adjacent bony vertebral bodies.

Claims 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrington (5,893,889) in view of Baumgartner (5,370,697).

It is noted that Harrington did not teach of buttresses attached to the first and second bases; as claimed by applicant. However, in similar art, Baumgartner evidences the use of an intervertebral disc having buttresses (16, 17) to form a limit or restriction to the relative movement of the plates.

Therefore, given the teaching of Baumgartner, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Fehling et al, as taught by Baumgartner, by incorporating buttresses to form a limit or restriction to the relative movement of the plates.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 1/26/09, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) s 1-3, 8-10,13-16,27-41 under 102/103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Harrington. Furthermore, the Affidavits submitted by applicant to overcome the

reference to Ferree were found to be persuasive, and the rejection is therefore withdrawn.

***Conclusion***

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PEDRO PHILOGENE whose telephone number is (571)272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM to 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Pedro Philogene/

Application/Control Number: 10/619,757

Page 6

Art Unit: 3733

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3733

April 15, 2009